



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,369	06/13/2005	Geoffrey F Burns	US02 0543 US	6028
65913	7590	04/07/2009	EXAMINER	
NXP, B.V.			PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S41-SJ			2183	
1109 MCKAY DRIVE				
SAN JOSE, CA 95131				
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		04/07/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,369	BURNS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Petranek	2183	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jacob Petranek. (3) _____.

(2) Larry Stein. (4) _____.

Date of interview: 01 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13, and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Higuchi et al. (U.S. 5,822,605).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed possible claim amendments to overcome the Higuchi reference by clarifying the structure and connections of the 2-d processing array and its input/output interface. The examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcome the Higuchi reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jacob Petranek/
Examiner, AU 2183